

Email correspondence between LJC and Garth re Ralph, October 2016

Lance includes an exchange between brothers Garth and Ralph in May 2014 in an effort to expose how his brothers were both evading truth in order to maintain their own control over persons in their lives. Garth was being secretive about visits he was making to Ralph who was in jail at the time. Lance and his younger brother, ex police detective Cedric, were concerned about what was going on between them.

From: Lance Christie
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 6:56
To: Garth Christie
Subject: FW: Control

Garth

I attach an old trail below to show how Ralph evaded issues by trying to control us.

Cedric is honest and direct – I'm convinced of that.

So I appeal for you to be the same with me.

I need to reply to the email Ced sent me which I shared with you, including the question as to why you're excluding me from your contact with Ralph.

Both are under assembly discipline so you and I should be absolutely at one together and able to send a transparent and straight reply.

If I don't hear back from you, I'll have to communicate direct with Cedric so I look forward to hearing back from you soon.

Thanks

Lance

From: Lance Christie
Sent: 15 May 2014 20:37
To: Garth Christie
Subject: FW: Control

Garth

Typically aguey vaguey answer which ignores your plea for clarity on the Swiss bank account to justify meeting.

Who is the huge organisation with unlimited funds? The police? Or criminals?

Talk about fluffiness.

Shall we arrange a Skype call with Themis?

I suggest you go back with a robust answer demanding straight truth.

From: Ralph Christie
Sent: 15 May 2014 19:27
To: Garth Christie; Lance Christie
CC: Themis Sofos
Subject: Control

Hi Lance and Garth

Everything is under control Greek style and there is no need for concern. Everything is going fine be it slowly. We are up against a huge organisation with unlimited funds but we has honesty, truth and belief. We need Cedric back on board before he fluffs up my delicate situation. We must meet ASAP to discuss all not by email to at least get rid of the flux, get me trading and then gaining the ability to repay all my brothers.

Themis is dealing with Mr Weltan and the Bernese Prosecutor.

Love

Ralph

PS What I showed you re Migro Bank was factual, you 2 were in a hurry!

From: Garth Christie
Sent: 20 October 2016 08:28
To: Lance Christie
Subject: RE: Control

Lance

I thought we had covered this in our recent discussion?

However see comments below which may help clarify the situation.

Best regards

Garth Christie

I attach an old trail below to show how Ralph evaded issues by trying to control us.

FA

Cedric is honest and direct – I'm convinced of that.

VG

So I appeal for you to be the same with me.

Always try to be honest and direct with you!

I need to reply to the email Ced sent me which I shared with you, including the question as to why you're excluding me from your contact with Ralph.

I am not excluding you from contact with Ralph, if he asks you to go and see him suggest you go. No point though in trying to help him see he is wrong as it will get you nowhere! He seems to find it almost impossible to take low ground.

Both are under assembly discipline so you and I should be absolutely at one together and able to send a transparent and straight reply.

Here it is.

If I don't hear back from you, I'll have to communicate direct with Cedric so I look forward to hearing back from you soon.

This seems a bit ambiguous, why is it fine for you to liaise with Cedric yet you seem to have a difficulty with me seeing Ralph on a compassionate basis? Do I take it from this that you wouldn't see Cedric without me being there or what is your point? Have you seen Cedric? I have made it abundantly clear to you that I am in no way justifying Ralph.

From: Lance Christie
Sent: Friday, October 21, 2016 7:21
To: Garth Christie
Subject: RE: Control

Garth,

Sorry to be so late replying.

I've got a new Samsung phone! Acclimatising!

You're missing the point which bears more on the relationship between you and me, Garth.

1. Cedric and I want to know why you've been to see Ralph twice now while he's in jail without telling me anything about going.

2. There's no ambiguity at all in Cedric's email. Common courtesy dictates that I reply to it straightforwardly.

3. I don't want to drop to the level of our recent discussion but was it that you find my company so wearying and pressuresome that you couldn't cope with the thought of travelling all the way to Selby and back with me? Did I pick that up right? If so, that doesn't explain why you didn't drop me a simple note or comment telling me how he was getting on.

If you could reply today, clearing up these points, I can then go back to Ced.

Thanks

Your brother in Him,

Lance

From: Garth Christie
Sent: 21 October 2016 15:21
To: Lance Christie
Subject: RE: Control

Dear Lance,

This is getting a bit wearisome but see comments below. I haven't reread Cedric's e mail.

I see no future in talking to Cedric about Ralph, can't see it will achieve anything worthwhile.

Best Regards

Garth

1. Cedric and I want to know why you've been to see Ralph twice now while he's in jail without telling me anything about going.

I fail to see what it has to do with Cedric if I go and visit Ralph at his request on compassionate grounds, Cedric could do likewise if he so wished but that is entirely his matter. We expect 2 brothers in fellowship together to be communicating better than this.

3. I don't want to drop to the level of our recent discussion but was it that you find my company so wearying and pressuresome that you couldn't cope with the thought of travelling all the way to Selby and back with me? Did I pick that up right? If so, that doesn't explain why you didn't drop me a simple note or comment telling me how he was getting on.

Can you clarify, have you met Cedric? Do you tell me when you do, there was quite an email trail, why ok for you to speak to Cedric and not report to me yet upset I haven't reported to you? Isn't that a bit ambiguous?

If you could reply today, clearing up these points, I can then go back to Ced.

There is no need for you to report to Cedric, he will know I am not siding with Ralph and only going on compassionate grounds, seems an entirely reasonable thing to do in the sad circumstances. The main reason I didn't go with you is that you wanted to "give him a piece of your mind". This won't work as Ralph is a Christie and hence doesn't understand or respect other people's points of view as he should and can't admit he might be wrong. It would just end up in a contentious argument. He is somewhat obsessed and as we know the pathway of self-justification never gets us anywhere. He always pushes back with an answer to prove he is in the right and everyone else in the wrong. You know mother suffered from conspiracy theories etc. and I guess he has inherited some of these features. Still you can't help feeling for anyone stuck in jail, JSH said jail should only be for violent people. Hope that helps. Quite happy to discuss it further face to face if that would help but doubt it would, you say.

From: Lance Christie
Sent: Friday, October 21, 2016 8:28 PM
To: Garth Christie
Subject: RE: Control

Garth,

Good to be in dialogue together again!

Going to see your brother in jail without telling me, your brother in fellowship about it can't sensibly be compared with

me visiting Cedric!

I don't think I've ever actually visited him but last saw him on 17th December 2015 when you pointed up the fact that you would relate to FCS and RNE if visiting him.

So I dutifully did the same and attach our correspondence.

The issue seems to be that you have a special link with FCS and RNE but no evident regard for me, your natural brother. Hence I'm excluded.

This is now affecting the testimony so needs resolving between us.

I'm keen to do all can to help Cedric (without compromising the fellowship, of course) so that we're consistent in our behaviour towards those outside the fellowship.

But it'd be so much easier if you and I were reconciled first.

For that to happen, we need to meet and agree that there's a level platform so that we can talk freely about our problems and confront issues with a view to resolving them. Then reconciliation is genuine and not forced in any way.

Is that a good basis on which to have a meeting?

The alternative doesn't bear thinking about because I have to tell Cedric and the brethren the truth i.e. that you find me so wearisome that you're not prepared to even try to be reconciled to me, your own brother!

I look forward to hearing back from you.

HAGWkend,

Your brother

Lance

From: Lance Christie
Sent: Friday, October 21...
To: Garth Christie
Subject: RE: Control

To reduce it all to a light comment like "we're all a bit nuts" does not wash with me, Garth. It gets serious if your assessment of anyone's ability to contribute meaningfully is prejudiced by your view of their mental state.

From a legal point of view, I can understand it – that's Ced's problem. But not among brethren please.

Hopefully this will cause you to have the private meeting with me that I have been longing for for 2 years now. You've been choosing to misunderstand and misrepresent me, Garth, with devastating consequences.

We all have weaknesses. It's up to you whether you choose to destructively expose another's weaknesses or choose to constructively build others up by making the most of their good points.

I look forward to hearing from you with a view to resolving outstanding issues between us.

Best regards,

Lance Christie

From: Garth Christie
Sent: 21 October 2016 20:46
To: Lance Christie
Subject: RE: Control

Lance

No problem having a meeting with you but suggest at least our wives are present. I have got pretty fed up with strong statements from you supposedly quoting me that are not accurate so would like others present.

When would you like to meet?

Best Regards,

Garth Christie

From: Lance Christie
Sent: 21 October 2016 22:01
To: Garth Christie
Subject: RE: Control

Garth,

The reason that you want others present is that you don't trust me. That's very evident, even from the below.

Matthew 18 – which the Leeds brethren used in assembly when my matters were brought up recently – says “between thee and him alone”.

Let's try the scriptural way and then if we can't achieve reconciliation, we can bring “2 or 3 besides”. That could include our wives if you think they're best equipped to deal with matters that have already come to assembly.

It's a pity we have to resort to Matthew 18 at all but that was the way you presented my matters to the brethren so I suppose we have to respect that. Presumably that's because of the seriousness of the offence, otherwise things could have been settled on the basis of Galatians 6 v 1.

When can we meet?

I look forward to your reply.

Best Regards

Your brother Lance