

From: lance christie <lancechristie7@gmail.com>
Date: 21 August 2024 at 19:29:05 BST
To: Garth Christie <garth.private@hazel4d.com>
Subject: **Brotherly Appeal**

Brother Garth,

The satirical exchange between two Australians and myself at the end of this email reveals the core evil of the Hales' PBCC including its effect in the UK via Branch Managers such as Jim Hazell and John Rich. That will in turn undermine the business side of the whole PBCC organisation and expose that money is the motivation behind assembly actions. Rebukes, shunning and withdrawal actions are extremely abusive.

I did prewarn all the brethren in New Zealand and Australia, even offering my help to extricate brethren businesses from Hales's control but, like yourself, no insiders have the courtesy to even reply to me

Indeed Jim and John travelled all the way up to Leeds in November 2016 to try to persuade me that I was so mental that I needed to go onto medication. I don't have any medication at all now and feel perfectly healthy and strong in my mind.

All your life you've been a zealot for religion but at the expense of lovely families including father and mother's and now mine. The whole principle of family is so precious that there should never be these break ups. You had no right interfere in my marriage with Deborah and take away my children and grandchildren.

It's akin to modern day slavery where nobody is allowed to protest about the barbaric behaviour of the slave drivers posing as "priests". The way that Bruce Hales can take away a church member's benefits by insisting that members have to be withdrawn from because there are irreconcilable differences, coupled with favouring the wife in the marriage, is serious enough but then the NSW Stewards Foundation of Christian Brethren Act has allowed him to do this via you and others without him being subject to any court of law at all. To fleece members like this is grossly unrighteous and needs calling out.

By comparison, my insistence that you owe me £2.5 million plus compound interest accruing from May 2023 is fair and righteous. Please place that in my account immediately

John Hales said enough to you and David Meatyard at the time of Jed's accident to indicate that he didn't have sufficient confidence in Bruce for him to become the next universal leader so you're very responsible, Garth. Even Stephen Hales told me in 2016 that his father preferred Daniel.

Deborah and I were very distressed in 1998 when you and David came round grilling us late into the night over money and financial compensation when we were concentrating on caring for Jed and nursing him back to health.

Anyway, the truth will come out. We can be certain of that and the sentencing in Jed's court case against me on 5th September should indicate how the British judiciary are thinking. Will you be there?

I'm also quite sure in my own mind that I'm entitled to have Weavabel and Bramhope Park Hall back because those entities, both set up by me to help "the testimony" forward, were seized off me without any righteous basis to do so at all. You were in touch with Bruce Hales and controlling me every step of the way, culminating in Daniel Hales suggesting to me in February 2017 that I take legal protection against you.

I'd managed to obtain a rare invitation to a fellowship meeting (at Aldburgh) Christmas 2016 (while you were serving in the Caribbean) and stayed with Daniel in Simon Rich's house. We had a good weekend there, the only negative being a stern word from Simon that I mustn't ever get up against Mark Bailey! The Bailey subject came up in our London interview with Bruce Hales in June 2015. I ask again why Bruce Hales insisted that Neil should substitute for Jim Hutchinson as a key witness

Yes, there are many things to sort out, Garth. God will not be mocked.

I suggest you do the following quickly before everything catches up on you.

1. Intervene for Jed in my court case by instructing my Legal Aid lawyer Marc Livingstone to acquit me. If Jed succeeds in jailing me, it could be hanging on his conscience for the rest of his life. Marc's email address is mlivingston@janes-solicitors.co.uk

2. Settle my overdue outstanding invoice to you for £2.5 million plus compound interest

3. Encourage the PBCC hierarchy to resign as quickly as possible

Despite your insistence that there is no hierarchy in the PBCC, it's clear to me that Bruce Hales, chairman, presides over GAP, the Global Advisory Panel right at the top. Phil McNaughton appears to be chairman and Chief Financial Officer and Gareth Hales Chief Risk Officer. Dean (Chief Executive Officer) and Cameron (Chief Operations Officer) run the business side

Each country then has leaders or Branch Managers for both UBT and GFT (global funding).

The Australian Tax Office recently raided Lloyd Chirnside's offices across the different Australian states and he preached after Bruce when I was last with him in Wollongong in September 2015. It seems that Jonathan Hubbard runs the Vision Global Funding side in Australia.

Then you've got Jim Hazel (UBT) and John Rich (Global Funding Inc. RRT) in the UK (Have you resigned yet?!), Caleb Hall (UBT) and Greg Mason (GFT)

Gotin New Zealand and Rod Diplock (UBT) and Mick Strange (GFT) in the USA.

On a par with these top members, you've got Brent Scott who has enormous influence in Canada, particularly with One School Global, and when I visited Canada in 2016, Roger Edwards told me that Brent had been passed on instructions to stop me preaching, even in the small Regina gathering at the end of the Lordsday. Brent also served alongside Daniel Hales at Aldburgh later in the year. I remember you trying to stop me going to Canada even though I'd already bought my air tickets.

Logan Currie from New Zealand also seems pretty high up the hog on the school side as do John Anderson and Stephen Henderson on the accountancy side.

It seems to be that the spiritual side (PBCC) is subservient to the business side, a complete about turn from JTJr and JHS's day. That must be corrected.

4. Undo the damage you've done to my family by insisting on uninhibited reconciliation between me and my children and grandchildren.

5. Join with me in restoring all brethren businesses back to family control and out of PBCC hierarchical control

This will all start as soon as you're prepared to respect and work with me, your brother.

In Christ,

Your brother Lance

Nathan Wright

“

Free Loans Available to Women Divorcees: A Groundbreaking Initiative by Bruce D. Hales

Care for members in accordance with the Stewards' Foundation of Christian Brethren Act 1989 and the Handbook Produced After the Preston Downs Charity Inquiry. The very specific stipulation of any 'charity' being for public benefit was a hurdle for the 'Exclusive Brethren'.

TIME FOR A RE-BRAND

The charities inquiry in the UK meant an urgent rebrand of the Exclusives to become a more confusing and slippery brand titled the Plymouth Brethren Christian Church (PBCC). This rebranding included the invention and rollout of the warm and fuzzy, do-good sausage-selling RRT PR initiative. The attraction of this intentionally 'caring' approach was to feed the wider public, based on the principle of 'feeding your enemies' through sausage sizzles.

Disclaimer: This article is satirical and intended to critique systemic issues. It is not an actual financial offering or legal advice.

*Are you a woman facing the complexities of divorce? Have no fear! In an unprecedented move utilizing complex tax-saving trust funds and loans, Bruce Hales has designed a revolutionary program to offer ****free loans**** to women divorcees. Yes, you read that right. These loans are here to support your separation journey, aligning perfectly with the structure of the Stewards' Foundation of Christian Brethren Act 1989.*

The Scriptural Support

In this innovative approach, there is a delightful reference to the scripture where a wife is bound to her husband forever. How thoughtful! Because what better way to navigate your new, independent life than by remembering your eternal bond?

The Benefits

- 1. ****Financial Independence****: Finally, you can separate from your spouse and still be financially dependent – on a loan, of course!*
- 2. ****Peace of Mind****: Enjoy the security of knowing that your finances are tied up in the most divine way possible.*
- 3. ****Support System****: Relish the comfort of scriptural backing while tackling the realities of modern separation.*

How It Works

Applying for these loans is simple. Just follow the steps outlined in the Stewards' Foundation of Christian Brethren Act 1989 and the guidance from the handbook produced after the Preston Downs Charity Inquiry. Embrace the binding nature of your marital vows as you sign on the dotted line. Because nothing says freedom like a loan designed to keep you tethered to your past.

In Conclusion

Isn't it heartwarming to see such thoughtful and considerate financial products designed to assist women in their most challenging times? Kudos to Bruce Hales for his unwavering commitment to providing solutions that reflect true compassion and understanding of marital bonds.

*-*This article is purely satirical and not intended to offend any individual or group. It is a critique of systemic issues and should not be taken as legal advice or an actual financial offering.* “*

Responses to Nathan from
Lance and myself -

Go for it, Nathan !

You can win this, your satire is pure gold my good friend. It speaks the truth!
Stick to your script and please please publish it widely

Best Regards

Lance J Christie

Nathan

I see your satirical piece on Bruce. Does MoG actually offer promise of comfort loans to the bride should the marriage breaks down, maybe even due to irreconcilable differences. If this is the case, it sounds to me as though he sets himself up in the marriage as the wife's insurance .

Are the normal words in marriage vows , ie "let no man set assunder" used in PB marriage ceremonies? I am guessing these words are not in the marriage vows as normally they would be , because offering the bride such assurance and simultaneously setting the men on an alcoholic lifestyle doesn't sit well with marriage stability and MoG it then appears does not mind that.

A pretty awfully thing for a church leader to set up if that is what you were suggesting ? This would be especially heinous if it works for the vesting purposes simultaneously for gaining rights over property for whom he favours , do you think ?

Best regards

Ray Carter